arXiv:1609.06458v2 [hep-th] 27 Sep 2016

LTH1097

The a-function for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories in three dimensions

J.A. Gracey[1], **I. Jack**[2] **and C. Poole**[3]

Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK

Y. Schröder[4]

Grupo de Fisica de Altas Energias, Universidad del Bio-Bio, Casilla 447, Chillan, Chile

Abstract

Recently, the existence of a candidate a-function for renormalisable theories in three dimensions was demonstrated for a general theory at leading order and for a scalar-fermion theory at next-to-leading order. Here we extend this work by constructing the a-function at next-to-leading order for an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Chern-Simons theory. This increase in precision for the a-function necessitated the evaluation of the underlying renormalization-group functions at *four* loops.

[1]gracey@liverpool.ac.uk
[2]dij@liverpool.ac.uk
[3]c.poole@liverpool.ac.uk
[4]yschroeder@ubiobio.cl

1 Introduction

Following Cardy's suggestion [1] that Zamolodchikov's two-dimensional c-theorem [2] might have an analogue in four dimensions, considerable progress has been made in proving the so-called a-theorem in even dimensions [3–15] (for a review see Ref. [16]). The Weyl anomaly played a central role in the derivation of the c-theorem and the a-theorem in even dimensions, and therefore it seems unlikely that the a-theorem could be extended to odd dimensions where there is no Weyl anomaly. An alternative candidate for a function which evolves monotonically along renormalisation group (RG) flows in odd dimensions is the so-called F-function [17–20]. This is the Euclidean path integral of the theory (or "free energy") conformally mapped (in the case of three dimensions) to S^3. It has been shown to increase between UV and IR fixed points for a variety of theories. However, an additional important feature of the a-function in even dimensions is the gradient flow property; for theories with couplings g^I and corresponding RG β-functions β^I, it satisfies

$$\partial_I a \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial g^I} a = T_{IJ}\beta^J \tag{1.1}$$

for a function T_{IJ}. A crucial consequence of Eq. (1.1) is that we then have

$$\mu\frac{d}{d\mu}a = \beta^I\frac{\partial}{\partial g^I}a = G_{IJ}\beta^I\beta^J \tag{1.2}$$

where $G_{IJ} = T_{(IJ)}$, (IJ) denoting symmetrisation. The a-theorem then follows immediately if G_{IJ} is positive definite. There is, however, so far no evidence that F possesses the gradient flow property except in simple cases at leading order, where its existence is trivial in the sense that no conditions are imposed on the β-function coefficients.

Accordingly a different approach has recently been taken [21, 22] in which a function with the gradient flow property Eq. (1.1) has been constructed order by order, using as a starting point the β-functions for a range of three-dimensional theories. The method was essentially that employed in four dimensions in the classic work of Ref. [23]. Initially [21] the leading-order (two-loop) β-functions computed by Avdeev et al in Refs. [24, 25] were used to construct a solution of Eq. (1.1) for abelian and non-abelian (for the case $SU(n)$) Chern-Simons theories at leading order. Moreover the "metric" G_{IJ} was indeed positive definite at this order. The Yukawa and scalar couplings in these theories were of a restricted form. However, it was then shown [21, 22] that the results extended at leading order to completely general abelian Chern-Simons theories (and there was no reason to doubt that the extension to the non-abelian case would be fairly immediate). The extension to next-to-leading order involves the four-loop β-functions (recall that there are no divergences at odd loop orders in odd dimensions). The four-loop Yukawa β-function for a general (ungauged) fermion/scalar theory was therefore computed [22] and it was shown that the definition of the a-function in Eq. (1.1) could be extended to this order as well. In the general gauged case at leading order, and in the ungauged case at next-to-leading order, Eq. (1.1) imposes stringent conditions on the β-function coefficients. Clearly (in

the absence of a general proof of the gradient flow property) the next natural step would be to extend the calculation for a general gauge theory to next-to-leading order. The β-function computation for a general theory at four loops would be very involved; however, the supersymmetric case is much more tractable and consequently we consider here the case of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. Here we can avail ourselves of the superspace formalism to simplify the calculations and furthermore as a consequence of the nonrenormalisation theorem [26], the Yukawa β function is determined by the chiral field anomalous dimension. Another motivation for consideration of the supersymmetric case is that the F-theorem has mostly been studied in this context and therefore a comparison might be facilitated. In order to check the validity of Eq. (1.1), it is sufficient to compute only the contributions to the anomalous dimension which contain Yukawa couplings. This is because Eq. (1.1) places constraints on the Yukawa-dependent contributions (which we shall show are satisfied) but not on the Yukawa-independent terms. This is a fortunate situation since it saves us a great deal of arduous computation.

We find that indeed we can construct the a-function satisfying Eq. (1.1) at next to leading order in the case of a general $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Chern-Simons theory. On the one hand there are far fewer constraints (only two, in fact) on the RG coefficients than we found even in the ungauged non-supersymmetric case at next-to-leading order; so that the imposition of supersymmetry itself must guarantee that most of the original constraints are satisfied. On the other hand, one of the remaining constraints is highly non-trivial since it involves a constraint on a Feynman diagram which had not hitherto been computed and which we had to deploy advanced techniques to evaluate.

The structure of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we describe the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Chern-Simons theory and its quantisation, recall the lowest-order (two-loop) result for the anomalous dimension and use it to construct the leading-order term in the a-function. In Section 3 we consider the a-function at next-to leading order, and show how its existence imposes consistency conditions on some of the coefficients in the next-to-leading order (four-loop) anomalous dimension. In Section 4 we present our calculation of the Yukawa-dependent terms in the four-loop anomalous dimension (as explained earlier, these are all we need), in particular checking that the consistency conditions are satisfied. We explain in some detail the computation of the particular diagram mentioned above. Finally, some closing remarks are offered in the Conclusion. Details of the superspace conventions and some explicit results for momentum integrals are deferred to appendices.

2 General procedure and lowest-order results

In this section we describe the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Chern-Simons theory and the general framework for our calculations. We also review the two-loop anomalous dimension calculation and construct the corresponding a-function. The action for the theory can be written

$$S = S_{SUSY} + S_{GF} \tag{2.1}$$

where S_{SUSY} is the usual supersymmetric action [27]

$$S_{SUSY} = \int d^3x \int d^4\theta \left(k \int_0^1 dt \, \mathrm{Tr}[\overline{D}^\alpha (e^{-tV} D_\alpha e^{tV})] + \Phi^j (e^{V_A R_A})^i{}_j \Phi_i \right) + \left(\int d^3x \int d^2\theta \, W(\Phi) + \mathrm{h.c.} \right). \tag{2.2}$$

Here V is the vector superfield, Φ the chiral matter superfield and the superpotential $W(\Phi)$ (quartic for renormalisability in three dimensions); see Appendix A for our $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace conventions. We take $W(\Phi)$ to be given by

$$W(\Phi) = \tfrac{1}{4!} Y^{ijkl} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k \Phi_l. \tag{2.3}$$

(We use the convention that $\Phi^i = (\Phi_i)^*$, and also denote $\overline{Y}_{ijkl} = (Y^{ijkl})^*$.) We assume a simple gauge group, though we comment later on the extension to non-simple groups. Gauge invariance requires the gauge coupling k to be quantised, so that $2\pi k$ is an integer. The vector superfield V is in the adjoint representation, $V = V_A T_A$ where T_A are the generators of the fundamental representation, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [T_A, T_B] =& i f_{ABC} T_C, \\ \mathrm{Tr}(T_A T_B) =& \delta_{AB}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The chiral superfield can be in a general representation, with gauge matrices denoted R_A satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [R_A, R_B] =& i f_{ABC} R_C, \\ \mathrm{Tr}(R_A R_B) =& T_R \delta_{AB}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

In three dimensions the Yukawa couplings Y^{ijkl} are dimensionless and (as mentioned earlier) the theory is renormalisable. In Eq. (2.1) the gauge-fixing term S_{GF} is given by [28]

$$S_{GF} = -\tfrac{k}{2\xi} \int d^3x d^2\theta \, \mathrm{tr}[f\overline{f}] - \tfrac{k}{2\xi} \int d^3x d^2\overline{\theta} \, \mathrm{tr}[f\overline{f}], \tag{2.6}$$

where ξ is a gauge-fixing parameter, and we introduce into the functional integral a corresponding ghost term

$$\int \mathcal{D}f \mathcal{D}\overline{f} \Delta(V) \Delta^{-1} V \tag{2.7}$$

with

$$\Delta(V) = \int d\Lambda d\overline{\Lambda} \, \delta(F(V, \Lambda, \overline{\Lambda}) - f) \delta(\overline{F}(V, \Lambda, \overline{\Lambda}) - \overline{f}), \tag{2.8}$$

and $\overline{F} = D^2 V$, $F = \overline{D}^2 V$. With the gauge-fixing parameter $\xi = 0$ this results in a gauge propagator

$$\langle V^A(1) V^B(2) \rangle = -\tfrac{1}{k} \tfrac{1}{\partial^2} \overline{D}^\alpha D_\alpha \delta^4(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \delta^{AB}. \tag{2.9}$$

The gauge vertices are obtained by expanding $S_{SUSY} + S_{GF}$ as given by Eqs. (2.2), (2.6):

$$S_{SUSY} + S_{GF} \to -\tfrac{i}{6} f^{ABC} \int d^3x d^4\theta \overline{D}^\alpha V^A D_\alpha V^B V^C \\ -\tfrac{1}{24} f^{ABE} f^{CDE} \int d^3x d^4\theta \overline{D}^\alpha V^A V^B D_\alpha V^C V^D + \ldots . \tag{2.10}$$

The ghost action resulting from Eq. (2.8) has the same form as in the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ case [26, 29]; we refrain from quoting it explicitly since we do not need to consider diagrams with ghost propagators. Finally the chiral propagator and chiral-gauge vertices are readily obtained by expanding Eq.(2.2); the chiral propagator is given by

$$\langle \Phi^i(1) \Phi_j(2) \rangle = -\tfrac{1}{\partial^2} \delta^4(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \delta^i{}_j. \tag{2.11}$$

The regularisation of the theory is effected by replacing V, Φ, Y by corresponding bare quantities V_B, Φ_B, Y_B, with the bare and renormalised fields related by

$$V_B = Z_V^{\frac{1}{2}} V, \quad \Phi_B = Z_\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi. \tag{2.12}$$

Since the Chern-Simons level k is expected to be unrenormalised for a generic Chern-Simons theory due to the topological nature of the theory (so that $k_B = k$), the only β-functions are those for the superpotential coupling and its conjugate. These will be given according to the non-renormalisation theorem [26] by

$$\beta_Y^{ijkl} = (\gamma_\Phi)_m{}^{(i} Y^{jkl)m}, \quad \beta_{\overline{Y}ijkl} = \overline{Y}_{m(ijk} (\gamma_\Phi)_{l)}{}^m. \tag{2.13}$$

where the anomalous dimension γ_Φ is defined by

$$\gamma_\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \ln Z_\Phi. \tag{2.14}$$

Using dimensional regularisation with $d = 3 - \epsilon$ dimensions, we have

$$Z_\Phi = \sum_{L \,\text{even}, \text{m}=1 \ldots \frac{\text{L}}{2}} \frac{Z_\Phi^{(L,m)}}{\epsilon^m} \tag{2.15}$$

where L is the loop order. γ_Φ is determined by the simple poles in Z_Φ according to

$$\gamma_\Phi^{(L)} = -\frac{L}{2} Z_\Phi^{(L,1)}. \tag{2.16}$$

The anomalous dimension of the chiral superfield is given at two loops by [28, 30]

$$(8\pi)^2 \gamma_\Phi^{(2)} = \tfrac{1}{3} Y_2 - \tfrac{2}{k^2} C_R C_R - \tfrac{1}{k^2} \tilde{T} C_R \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(Y_2)_i{}^j =&\overline{Y}_{iklm}Y^{jklm}\\ C_R =&R_AR_A,\\ C_G\delta_{AB} =&f_{ACD}f_{BCD},\\ \tilde{T} =& T_R - C_G,\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

and T_R is defined in Eq. (2.5). This result may readily be obtained by $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfield methods [24, 28, 30, 31]; see Appendix A for our $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfield conventions. Henceforth we set $k=1$ for simplicity, and also neglect factors of $(8\pi)^2$ (one for each loop order); these factors of k and $(8\pi)^2$ may easily be restored if desired. The two-loop results for general Chern-Simons theories obtained in Ref. [25] are not directly comparable since they were computed in the $\mathcal{N}=1$ framework.

The β-functions β_Y and $\beta_{\overline{Y}}$ are given at lowest order by inserting (2.17) into (2.13). It is then clear that Eq. (1.1) is satisfied to this order in the form[5]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^{ijkl}}A = \beta_{\overline{Y}ijkl}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Y}_{ijkl}}A = \beta_Y^{ijkl}, \tag{2.19}$$

(hence effectively with a unit T_{IJ} on the right-hand side of Eq. (1.1)) by taking

$$A^{(5)} = a_1^{(5)}A_1^{(5)} + a_2^{(5)}A_2^{(5)} + a_3^{(5)}A_3^{(5)} \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$A_1^{(5)} = (Y_2)_i{}^j(Y_2)_j{}^i, \quad A_2^{(5)} = (Y_2)_i{}^j(C_R^2)_j{}^i, \quad A_3^{(5)} = C_G(Y_2)_i{}^j(C_R)_j{}^i, \tag{2.21}$$

with Y_2 given by Eq. (2.18), and

$$a_1^{(5)} = \tfrac{2}{3}, \quad a_2^{(5)} = -8, \quad a_3^{(5)} = -4\tilde{T}. \tag{2.22}$$

Notice that at this order the construction of the a-function imposes no constraints on the anomalous dimension coefficients, since there is a one-to-one correspondence between a-function structures and anomalous dimension structures. For later convenience it will be useful to rewrite Eq. (2.19) in the form

$$d_Y A \equiv dY \circ \partial_Y A = dY \circ \beta_{\overline{Y}}, \quad d_{\overline{Y}}A \equiv d\overline{Y} \circ \partial_{\overline{Y}}A = d\overline{Y} \circ \beta_Y, \tag{2.23}$$

where $\circ$ is a scalar product on Yukawa couplings so that for instance $Y \circ \overline{Y} \equiv Y^{ijkl}\overline{Y}_{ijkl}$.

[5] We prefer to use the notation A (rather than a) in three dimensions in the absence of any connection to a conformal anomaly coefficient.

3 Consistency conditions for four-loop anomalous dimension

In this section we derive the consistency conditions on the four-loop anomalous dimension coefficients required for Eq. (1.1) to be satisfied at next-to-leading order. We assume an a-function at this order of the general form

$$A^{(7)} = \sum_{i=1}^{14} a_i^{(7)} A_i^{(7)} + \tilde{a}(\beta_Y^{(2)}) \circ (\beta_{\overline{Y}}^{(2)}) \tag{3.1}$$

where the structures $A_i^{(7)}$ are depicted in Table 1, except for $A_{14}^{(7)}$ which it is more convenient simply to define explicitly, *viz*:

$$A_{14}^{(7)} = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}(Y_2\{R_A, R_B\})\mathrm{tr}(Y_2\{R_A, R_B\}), \tag{3.2}$$

and the final term represents the usual arbitrariness [10] in defining the a-function. Our convention for the chiral lines is that the arrows point from a Y vertex to a $\overline{Y}$ vertex; furthermore, a box represents an insertion of C_R, and an A or B represents an insertion of a gauge generator R_A or R_B respectively. At this order we expect

$$d_Y A^{(7)} = dY \circ T^{(3)} \circ \beta_{\overline{Y}}^{(4)} + dY \circ T^{(5)} \circ \beta_{\overline{Y}}^{(2)} + dY \circ K^{(5)} \circ \beta_Y^{(2)} \tag{3.3}$$

where as we saw in the previous section, $T^{(3)}$ is effectively the unit matrix and we write

$$T^{(5)} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} t_i^{(5)} T_i^{(5)}, \quad K^{(5)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} k_i^{(5)} K_i^{(5)}, \tag{3.4}$$

where the individual contributions $dY \circ T_i^{(5)} \circ d\overline{Y}$, $dY \circ K_i^{(5)} \circ dY$ are depicted in Tables 2 and 3, with a cross denoting dY and a diamond denoting $d\overline{Y}$. The corresponding expression for $d_{\overline{Y}}$ may be obtained by conjugation and is not given explicitly. Finally the four-loop anomalous dimension is expected to take the form

$$(8\pi)^4 \gamma_\Phi^{(4)} = \sum_{i=1}^{12} g_i \gamma_i^{(4)} + \ldots \tag{3.5}$$

where the invariants involving Yukawa couplings are given by



Table 1: Contributions to $A^{(7)}$ (for notation, see Section 3)



Table 2: Contributions to $T^{(5)}$



Table 3: Contributions to $K^{(5)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\gamma_1^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{ilmn}(Y_2)_k{}^l Y^{kmnj},\\
(\gamma_2^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{ipqr}Y^{pqmn}\overline{Y}_{mnkl}Y^{klrj},\\
\gamma_3^{(4)} &= Y_2 C_R C_R,\\
(\gamma_4^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{ikln}(C_R C_R)^n{}_m Y^{klmj}\\
(\gamma_5^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{iklm}(C_R)_n{}^m Y^{pkln}(C_R)_p{}^j,\\
(\gamma_6^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{iklm}(C_R)_n{}^l (C_R)_p{}^m Y^{knpj},\\
(\gamma_7^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{iklm}(R_A R_B)_n{}^m Y^{pkln}(R_B R_A)_p{}^j,\\
(\gamma_8^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{iklm}(R_A R_B)_n{}^l (R_A R_B)_p{}^m Y^{knpj},\\
\gamma_9^{(4)} &= Y_2 C_R,\\
(\gamma_{10}^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{iklm}(C_R)_n{}^m Y^{klnj},\\
(\gamma_{11}^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(Y_2 R_A R_B)(\{R_A, R_B\})_i{}^j,\\
(\gamma_{12}^{(4)})_i{}^j &= \overline{Y}_{iklm}(R_A C_R)_n{}^l (R_A)_p{}^m Y^{knpj}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

The structures $\gamma^{(4)}_{1-11}$ form a basis for 2nd rank tensors with four gauge matrices; $\gamma^{(4)}_{12}$ is not independent but has been retained since it appears naturally in diagrammatic calculations (and in fact ultimately cancels). The ellipsis in Eq. (3.5) indicates Yukawa-independent terms which we have not computed. We then find that Eq. (1.1) entails

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_1^{(7)} =& t_1^{(5)} = 2g_1^{(4)} + \tfrac{1}{2}(t_2^{(5)} + k_1^{(5)}),\\
a_2^{(7)} =& 4g_2^{(4)} = \tfrac{4}{3}t_3^{(5)} = \tfrac{4}{3}k_2^{(5)},\\
a_3^{(7)} =& \tfrac{1}{9}(t_1^{(5)} + t_2^{(5)} + k_1^{(5)}),\\
a_4^{(7)} =& 2g_3^{(4)} - 2t_1^{(5)} - t_2^{(5)} - k_1^{(5)} + \tfrac{1}{6}t_4^{(5)},\\
a_5^{(7)} =& 4g_4^{(4)} - 6t_2^{(5)} - 6k_1^{(5)} = -6t_1^{(5)} + t_4^{(5)},\\
a_6^{(7)} =& 4g_5^{(4)} = 2t_5^{(5)},\\
a_7^{(7)} =& 4g_6^{(4)} = 2t_5^{(5)},\\
a_8^{(7)} =& 4g_7^{(4)} = \tfrac{2}{3}t_6^{(5)},\\
a_9^{(7)} =& 4g_8^{(4)} = \tfrac{2}{3}t_6^{(5)},\\
a_{10}^{(7)} =& -8t_3^{(5)} = -8k_2^{(5)},\\
a_{11}^{(7)} =& -4\tilde{T}t_3^{(5)} = -4\tilde{T}k_2^{(5)},\\
a_{12}^{(7)} =& 2g_9^{(4)} - \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{T}(t_1^{(5)} + t_2^{(5)} + k_1^{(5)}) + \tfrac{1}{6}t_7^{(5)},\\
a_{13}^{(7)} =& 4g_{10}^{(4)} - 3\tilde{T}(t_2^{(5)} + k_1^{(5)}) = -3\tilde{T}t_1^{(5)} + t_7^{(5)},\\
a_{14}^{(7)} =& 2g_{11}^{(4)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

The a-function coefficients $a_2^{(7)}$, $a_{6-9}^{(7)}$, $a_{14}^{(7)}$, are given directly in terms of the anomalous dimension coefficients in Eq. (3.7); while the remaining ones are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1^{(7)} =& \tfrac{4}{3} g_1^{(4)}, \\
a_3^{(7)} =& 0, \\
a_4^{(7)} =& \tfrac{8}{3} g_1^{(4)} + 2 g_3^{(4)} + \tfrac{2}{3} g_4^{(4)}, \\
a_5^{(7)} =& 8 g_1^{(4)} + 4 g_4^{(4)}, \\
a_{10}^{(7)} =& -24 g_2^{(4)}, \\
a_{11}^{(7)} =& -12 \tilde{T} g_2^{(4)}, \\
a_{12}^{(7)} =& \tfrac{4}{3} \tilde{T} g_1^{(4)} + 2 g_9^{(4)} + \tfrac{2}{3} g_{10}^{(4)}, \\
a_{13}^{(7)} =& 4 \tilde{T} g_1^{(4)} + 4 g_{10}^{(4)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

together with

$$\begin{aligned}
t_1^{(5)} =& \tfrac{1}{3} (4 g_1^{(4)} + \tilde{a}), \\
t_2^{(5)} + k_1^{(5)} =& \tfrac{2}{3} (-2 g_1^{(4)} + \tilde{a}), \\
t_3^{(6)} =& k_2^{(5)} = 3 g_2^{(4)}, \\
t_4^{(5)} =& 16 g_1^{(4)} + 4 g_4^{(4)} - 2\tilde{a}, \\
t_5^{(5)} =& 2 g_5^{(4)}, \\
t_6^{(5)} =& 6 g_7^{(4)}, \\
t_7^{(5)} =& 8 \tilde{T} g_1^{(4)} + 4 g_{10}^{(4)} - \tilde{T} \tilde{a}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

(where $\tilde{a}$ is the parameter introduced in Eq. (3.1)), subject to the consistency conditions

$$g_5^{(4)} = g_6^{(4)}, \quad g_7^{(4)} = g_8^{(4)}. \tag{3.10}$$

Turning to the Yukawa-independent terms, it is clear that we may satisfy Eq. (1.1) if for each Yukawa-independent term $X^i{}_j$, we add to $A^{(7)}$ a term $Y^{ijkl} X^m{}_{(i} Y_{jkl)m}$, and therefore there will be no further constraints.

As we have observed already in four dimensions [10, 32] and six dimensions [33], and indeed at lower orders in three dimensions [21,22], the a-function coefficients are determined completely (within a given renormalisation scheme) up to the arbitrariness parametrised by the coefficient $\tilde{a}$.

4 Four-loop calculation

In this section we describe the diagrammatic computation of the four-loop anomalous dimension, again focussing on contributions containing Yukawa couplings. We are therefore concerned with the calculation of four-loop two-point diagrams. Two large classes



Table 4: Classes of diagrams that do not contribute

of diagrams may be immediately discarded as giving no contribution to the anomalous dimension [31]. The first consists of those diagrams in which the first (last) vertex encountered along the incoming (outgoing) chiral line has a single gauge line. In this case after performing the superspace D-algebra[6] one is left with a diagram which is finite by power counting. These diagrams are shown schematically in Table 4(a). The second class consists of those diagrams which contain a one-loop subdiagram with one gauge and one chiral line, depicted in Table 4(b); in this case one finds that one is left with fewer than two D's and two $\overline{D}$'s on the loop shown, hence giving a vanishing contribution.

The diagrams which do potentially give non-trivial contributions to the four-loop anomalous dimension are depicted in Table 5. With the exception of 5(h) (which will be discussed in more detail shortly), the momentum integrals obtained after performing the superspace D-algebra in these diagrams may be expressed using integration by parts in terms of a relatively small basis of momentum integrals [34, 35] which are depicted in Table 6, and whose divergences are also listed in Appendix B. (The graph labelled X in Table 6 will also be discussed in more detail shortly.) The massless 4-loop 2-point functions depicted in Table 6 are assumed to have their UV subdivergences subtracted; the "dot" on the propagator in $\tilde{Y}$ in Table 6 represents a double propagator. The results given later, and also most of these conventions for labelling the diagrams, are taken from Refs. [34, 35]; except for $\tilde{Y}$ which was defined (without the tilde, used here to avoid confusion with the Yukawa coupling) in Ref. [22]. Our results for the diagrams of Table 5 are listed in Table 7. The central columns of Table 7 show the divergent contribution from each diagram (again, except 5(h)) expressed in terms of this basis. These momentum integrals multiply a variety of group structures, as defined in Eqs. (2.18), (3.6), which are tabulated in the final column of Table 7. Finally the first column of Table 7 contains an overall symmetry factor. The resulting contribution to the two-point function for each diagram is therefore obtained by adding the momentum integrals with the coefficients listed in the appropriate row and multiplying the resulting sum by the corresponding symmetry factor and group structure. For instance, row (j) of Table 7 denotes a contribution

$$(-1)(-2I_4 + I_{4bbb}) \left(\gamma_7^{(4)} - \tfrac{1}{12} C_G \gamma_9^{(4)}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

We note here the cancellation of $\gamma_{12}^{(4)}$ between rows (e) and (i), as mentioned in the previous Section.

[6] See Appendix A for conventions and definitions.

We now return to graph (h) of Table 5. After performing the superspace D-algebra, this results in the momentum integral labelled X in Table 6. In it there is an implicit spinor trace over the momenta of the rim propagators, $\not{k}$, where we use three dimensional γ-matrices with $\mathrm{Tr}I = 2$. This particular integral provided us with a technical challenge compared to the other graphs we had to evaluate. Accordingly we describe our method for evaluating it in more detail than usual. Firstly, by power counting it is straightforward to see that the graph is primitively divergent, which provides a shortcut to finding the divergence. Either we can reroute the external momentum through the diagram in such a way that it becomes simpler to compute, or we can use a vacuum bubble expansion, such as that discussed in Refs. [36, 37]. In the former case one has to be careful that infrared divergences are not introduced. However, we have chosen to follow the latter course as it is more systematic and accessible to recently developed integration-by-parts algorithms. In converting the massless four-loop 2-point function to vacuum bubbles we recursively apply the identity

$$\frac{1}{(k-p)^2} \;=\; \frac{1}{[k^2+m^2]} \;+\; \frac{2kp-p^2+m^2}{(k-p)^2[k^2+m^2]} \tag{4.2}$$

to all propagators, where k can be regarded as a loop momentum. The recursion terminates when all resulting integrals involving the external momentum are finite by Weinberg's theorem. In our case one in effect replaces the scalar propagators of the graph by the first term of the identity. What remains is an integral with products of scalar products of internal and external momenta after the trace has been taken. To proceed we have applied the Laporta algorithm [38]. This constructs identities based on integration by parts for all such scalar product integrals and then reduces these to a base set of what is termed master integrals. Specifically these are four-loop massive vacuum diagrams. In particular they have been evaluated numerically to very high precision in three dimensions in Ref. [39] using the approach of Ref. [40], running parallel to the same calculation in four dimensions [41]. Therefore for our particular graph we have constructed a database of relations between all possible integrals within that of graph X of Table 6 using the Reduze formulation [42] of the Laporta algorithm. We have used Form [43, 44] to handle the resulting algebra. One aspect of the integration-by-parts approach is that one has to substitute terms from the masters beyond the poles in ϵ. This is because factors of $1/(d-3)$ will appear as coefficients of the master integrals in the decomposition of the original integral. In addition, as several of the masters have double poles in ϵ then in order to have an answer which corresponds to a primitive the poles higher than the simple one have to cancel. It is reassuring to find that this is indeed the case when we perform the actual computation, giving us a strong check. Interestingly it transpires that integral X of Table 6 is in fact finite.



Table 5: Four-loop diagrams contributing to the Yukawa-dependent part of the anomalous dimension



Table 6: The basis of massless two-point momentum integrals. For definitions and results, see Appendix B as well as the main text. The dotted propagator is squared, and the arrows on the last integral denote numerator factors of $\not{k}$.

The simple pole contributions in Table 7 may now be summed using Eq. (B.3) and we obtain the Yukawa-dependent contribution to the four-loop anomalous dimension using Eq. (2.16). Our final result is

$$\begin{aligned}(8\pi)^4\gamma_\Phi^{(4)} =& \tfrac{2}{3}\gamma_1^{(4)} + \tfrac{\pi^2}{4}\gamma_2^{(4)} + \tfrac{4}{3}\left(1-\tfrac{1}{3}\pi^2\right)\gamma_3^{(4)} - \left(4-\tfrac{2}{3}\pi^2\right)\gamma_4^{(4)} \\ & - \tfrac{1}{3}\pi^2(\gamma_7^{(4)}+\gamma_8^{(4)}) \\ & + \left[2\left(1-\tfrac{1}{8}\pi^2\right)\tilde{T} + \tfrac{1}{8}\pi^2 C_G\right]\left(\tfrac{1}{3}\gamma_9^{(4)} - \gamma_{10}^{(4)}\right) - \tfrac{4}{3}\gamma_{11}^{(4)} + \ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where as before the ellipsis indicates Yukawa-independent terms. We may now read off the coefficients $g_i^{(4)}$ as defined in Eq.(3.5), and in particular we see that $g_5^{(4)} = g_6^{(4)} = 0$, $g_7^{(4)} = g_8^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{3}\pi^2$, in accord with Eq. (3.10).

5 Conclusions

We have demonstrated the existence of an a-function having the gradient flow properties of Eq. (1.1) at next-to-leading order, for a general three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory in this paper; and for a completely general (non-supersymmetric) ungauged three-dimensional theory in Ref. [22]. It is worth emphasising that in our a-function construction we have had to compute a new class of Feynman diagram at four loops to ensure full consistency. For instance, we found by computation that graph X of Table 6 was finite. Alternatively we could have used properties of our a-function construction to have predicted this a priori. That the two approaches tally is indicative that our a-function and the field theories we have examined are fully informed of each other. It seems highly likely that the gradient flow property will extend to a completely general three-dimensional Chern-Simons theory coupled to scalars and fermions at next-to-leading order and probably beyond; and again, based on this one might obtain predictions for further Feynman diagrams which would otherwise require advanced techniques to evaluate.

It would be very desirable to find a general all-orders proof, or to make contact with the F-function described in Refs. [17–19] which has been argued to have similar properties at

	symm	I_4	I_{22}	I_{4bbb}	$\tilde{Y}$	overall group factor
(a)	$-\frac{1}{12}$	1	0	0	0	$\gamma_1^{(4)}$
(b)	$-\frac{1}{8}$	0	0	1	0	$\gamma_2^{(4)}$
(c)	$-\frac{1}{4}$	-2	0	0	0	$\gamma_4^{(4)} - \frac{1}{4}C_G\gamma_{10}^{(4)}$
(d)	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	0	$\gamma_4^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2}C_G\gamma_{10}^{(4)}$
(e)	-1	0	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	$-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_4^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{12}^{(4)} - \frac{1}{12}C_G\gamma_9^{(4)} + \frac{1}{4}C_G\gamma_{10}^{(4)}$
(f)	1	0	0	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0	$\gamma_8^{(4)} + \frac{1}{12}C_G\gamma_9^{(4)} - \frac{1}{4}C_G\gamma_{10}^{(4)}$
(g)	$-\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	-2	0	$\gamma_8^{(4)} + \frac{1}{12}C_G\gamma_9^{(4)} - \frac{1}{4}C_G\gamma_{10}^{(4)}$
(i)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}\gamma_4^{(4)} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_7^{(4)} - \gamma_8^{(4)} + \gamma_{12}^{(4)}$
(j)	1	-2	0	1	0	$\gamma_7^{(4)} - \frac{1}{12}C_G\gamma_9^{(4)}$
(k)	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-2	0	0	0	$\gamma_7^{(4)} - \frac{1}{12}C_G\gamma_9^{(4)}$
(l)	1	-2	0	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{6}\gamma_3^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_7^{(4)}$
(m)	$-\frac{1}{12}$	-2	0	0	0	$\gamma_3^{(4)} - \frac{1}{4}C_G\gamma_9^{(4)}$
(n)	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\left(\tilde{T} + \frac{1}{2}C_G\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\gamma_9^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{10}^{(4)}\right)$
(o)	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\left(\tilde{T} + \frac{1}{2}C_G\right)\gamma_{10}^{(4)}$
(p)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\left(\tilde{T} + \frac{1}{2}C_G\right)\gamma_{10}^{(4)}$
(q)	$-\frac{1}{12}$	0	1	0	-2	$\gamma_{11}^{(4)}$
(r)	$\frac{1}{6}$	1	0	0	-1	$\gamma_{11}^{(4)}$

Table 7: Results for diagrams listed in Table 5 in terms of master integrals (see Table 6) and invariants involving Yukawa couplings of Eq. (3.6)

.

least at leading order. In this connection it might be interesting to compute the a-function as in Eqs. (3.1), (3.7), (3.8) for the particular theories considered in Refs. [17,18] in order to make a direct comparison. It would be all the more desirable to relate our a-function with the F-function since as we mentioned earlier, it has been shown that the latter increases as expected between IR and UV fixed points; whereas although we have demonstrated monotonic behaviour of our a-function perturbatively, i.e. for weak couplings (since our metric is the unit matrix at leading order), we currently have no way to prove this in general.

5.1 Acknowledgments

JAG and IJ were supported by the STFC under contract ST/G00062X/1, CP by an STFC studentship, and YS by FONDECYT project 1151281 and UBB project GI-152609/VC.

A Conventions

In this appendix we list our superspace and supersymmetry conventions. We use a metric signature $(+--)$ so that a possible choice of γ matrices is $\gamma^0 = \sigma_2$, $\gamma^1 = i\sigma_3$, $\gamma^2 = i\sigma_1$ with

$$(\gamma^0)_\alpha{}^\beta = (\sigma_2)_\alpha{}^\beta, \tag{A.1}$$

etc. We then have

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = \eta^{\mu\nu} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\gamma_\rho. \tag{A.2}$$

We have [28] a complex two-spinor θ^α (with conjugate denoted $\overline{\theta}^\alpha$) with indices raised and lowered according to

$$\theta^\alpha = C^{\alpha\beta}\theta_\beta, \quad \theta_\alpha = \theta^\beta C_{\beta\alpha}, \tag{A.3}$$

with $C^{12} = -C_{12} = i$. We then have

$$\theta_\alpha\theta_\beta = C_{\beta\alpha}\theta^2, \quad \theta^\alpha\theta^\beta = C^{\beta\alpha}\theta^2, \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$\theta^2 = \tfrac{1}{2}\theta^\alpha\theta_\alpha. \tag{A.5}$$

The supercovariant derivatives are defined by

$$D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + \tfrac{i}{2}\overline{\theta}^\beta\partial_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{A.6}$$

$$\overline{D}_\alpha = \overline{\partial}_\alpha + \tfrac{i}{2}\theta^\beta\partial_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{A.7}$$

where

$$\partial_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\mu(\gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{A.8}$$

satisfying

$$\{D_\alpha, \overline{D}_\beta\} = i\partial_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{A.9}$$

We also define

$$d^2\theta = \tfrac{1}{2}d\theta^\alpha d\theta_\alpha \quad d^2\overline{\theta} = \tfrac{1}{2}d\overline{\theta}^\alpha d\overline{\theta}_\alpha, \quad d^4\theta = d^2\theta d^2\overline{\theta}, \tag{A.10}$$

so that

$$\int d^2\theta\theta^2 = \int d^2\overline{\theta}\overline{\theta}^2 = -1. \tag{A.11}$$

The vector superfield $V(x, \theta, \overline{\theta})$ is expanded in Wess-Zumino gauge as

$$V = i\theta^\alpha\overline{\theta}_\alpha\sigma + \theta^\alpha\overline{\theta}^\beta A_{\alpha\beta} - \theta^2\overline{\theta}^\alpha\overline{\lambda}_\alpha - \overline{\theta}^2\theta^\alpha\lambda_\alpha + \theta^2\overline{\theta}^2 D, \tag{A.12}$$

and the chiral field is expanded as

$$\Phi = \phi(y) + \theta^\alpha \psi_\alpha(y) - \theta^2 F(y), \tag{A.13}$$

where

$$y^\mu = x^\mu + i\theta\gamma^\mu\overline{\theta}. \tag{A.14}$$

B Integrals

Here, we list the UV divergences of our basis of momentum integrals. As in Refs. [34, 35], these are subdivergence-subtracted massless two-point functions, depicted schematically in Table 6. The basic massless 1-loop integral (defined to be dimensionless here) is given by[7]

$$G(a,b) \;=\; \int \frac{d^dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\frac{p^{2(a+b-d/2)}}{k^{2a}\,(k-p)^{2b}} \;=\; \frac{\Gamma\left(a+b-\frac{d}{2}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-a\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}-b\right)}{(4\pi)^{d/2}\Gamma(d-a-b)\,\Gamma(a)\,\Gamma(b)}\,. \tag{B.1}$$

A standard method is then to iteratively integrate out massless sub-graphs in higher-loop integrals in terms of the function G, and this is indeed sufficient to evaluate the first four of the integrals of Table 6. Due to dimensional reasons, in odd dimensions the first logarithmic UV divergence can only occur at even loop orders, which here is parametrized by the 2-loop massless sunset-type integral

$$I_2 \;=\; \int \frac{d^dk}{(2\pi)^d}\int \frac{d^dq}{(2\pi)^d}\,\frac{p^{2(3-d)}}{k^2\,q^2\,(k+q-p)^2} \;=\; G(1,1)\,G\left(2-\tfrac{d}{2},1\right)\,. \tag{B.2}$$

We are now ready to define our basis of momentum integrals, using an operator $\hat{K}\,[f(\epsilon)]$ that extracts the pole parts of the function $f(\epsilon)$. Recalling that we work in $d = 3-\epsilon$ dimensions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 \;&=\; \hat{K}\left[I_2\left(G(1,1)\,G\left(5-\tfrac{3d}{2},1\right) - \hat{K}\,[I_2]\right)\right] = \frac{1}{(8\pi)^4}\left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon^2}+\frac{4}{\epsilon}\right)\,,\\
I_{22} \;&=\; \hat{K}\left[I_2\left(I_2 - 2\hat{K}\,[I_2]\right)\right] = \frac{1}{(8\pi)^4}\left(-\frac{4}{\epsilon^2}+\frac{0}{\epsilon}\right)\,,\\
I_{4bbb} \;&=\; \hat{K}\left[G^3(1,1)\,G\left(4-d,2-\tfrac{d}{2}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{(8\pi)^4}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{\epsilon}\right)\,,\\
\tilde{Y} \;&=\; \hat{K}\left[G^2(1,1)\,G\left(2,2-\tfrac{d}{2}\right)\,G\left(2-\tfrac{d}{2},4-d\right)\right] = \frac{1}{(8\pi)^4}\left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

the first three of which agree with Refs. [34, 35] after adjusting for our definition of ϵ which differs by a factor of 2. Furthermore, the integrals satisfy the consistency condition $4\tilde{Y} = I_{22} - 2I_4$ given in Eq. (4.1) of Ref. [22]. We note that in fact the result for $\tilde{Y}$ is not required since it cancels between rows (q) and (r) of Table 7.

[7] The G-function notation was introduced in Ref. [45].

References

[1] J.L. Cardy, Is there a c-theorem in four dimensions?, Phys. Lett. B215 (1988) 749.

[2] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Irreversibility of the flux of the renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory, JETP Lett. 43 (1986) 730.

[3] Z. Komargodski and A. Schwimmer, On renormalization group flows in four dimensions, JHEP 1112 (2011) 099, arXiv:1107.3987 [hep-th].

[4] Z. Komargodski, The constraints of conformal symmetry on RG flows, JHEP 1207 (2012) 069, arXiv:1112.4538 [hep-th].

[5] M.A. Luty, J. Polchinski and R. Rattazzi, The a-theorem and the asymptotics of 4D quantum field theory, JHEP 1301 (2013) 152, arXiv:1204.5221 [hep-th].

[6] H. Elvang, D.Z. Freedman, L.Y. Hung, M. Kiermaier, R.C. Myers and S. Theisen, On renormalization group flows and the a-theorem in 6d, JHEP 1210 (2012) 011, arXiv:1205.3994 [hep-th].

[7] H. Elvang and T.M. Olson, RG flows in d dimensions, the dilaton effective action, and the a-theorem, JHEP 1303 (2013) 034, arXiv:1209.3424 [hep-th].

[8] H. Osborn, Derivation of a four-dimensional c-theorem, Phys. Lett. B222 (1989) 97.

[9] I. Jack and H. Osborn, Analogs for the c-theorem for four-dimensional renormalizable field theories, Nucl. Phys. B343 (1990) 647.

[10] I. Jack and H. Osborn, Constraints on RG flow for four-dimensional quantum field theories, Nucl. Phys. B883 (2014) 425, arXiv:1312.0428 [hep-th].

[11] H. Osborn, Weyl consistency conditions and a local renormalization group equation for general renormalizable field theories, Nucl. Phys. B363 (1991) 486.

[12] B. Grinstein, A. Stergiou and D. Stone, Consequences of Weyl consistency conditions, JHEP 1311 (2013) 195, arXiv:1308.1096 [hep-th].

[13] B. Grinstein, A. Stergiou, D. Stone and M. Zhong, A challenge to the a-theorem in six dimensions, arXiv:1406.3626 [hep-th].

[14] B. Grinstein, A. Stergiou, D. Stone and M. Zhong, Two-loop renormalization of multiflavor ϕ^3 theory in six dimensions and the trace anomaly, Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 045013, arXiv:1504.05959 [hep-th].

[15] H. Osborn and A. Stergiou, Structures on the conformal manifold in six-dimensional theories, JHEP 1504 (2015) 157, arXiv:1501.01308 [hep-th].

[16] Y. Nakayama, Scale invariance vs conformal invariance, Phys. Rept. 569 (2015) 1, arXiv:1302.0884 [hep-th].

[17] D.L. Jafferis, The exact superconformal R-Symmetry extremizes Z, JHEP 1205 (2012) 159, arXiv:1012.3210 [hep-th].

[18] D.L. Jafferis, I.R. Klebanov, S.S. Pufu and B.R. Safdi, Towards the F-theorem: $N = 2$ field theories on the three-sphere, JHEP 1106 (2011) 102, arXiv:1103.1181 [hep-th].

[19] I.R. Klebanov, S.S. Pufu and B.R. Safdi, F-theorem without supersymmetry, JHEP 1110 (2011) 038, arXiv:1105.4598 [hep-th].

[20] S. Giombi and I.R. Klebanov, Interpolating between a and F, JHEP 1503 (2015) 117, arXiv:1409.1937 [hep-th].

[21] I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones and C. Poole, Gradient flows in three dimensions, JHEP 1509 (2015) 061, arXiv:1505.05400 [hep-th].

[22] I. Jack and C. Poole, The a-function in three dimensions: beyond leading order, arXiv:1607.00236.

[23] D.J. Wallace and R.K.P. Zia, Gradient properties of the RG equations in multicomponent systems, Annals Phys. 92 (1975) 142.

[24] L.V. Avdeev, G.V. Grigoryev and D.I. Kazakov, Renormalizations in abelian Chern-Simons field theories with matter, Nucl. Phys. B382 (1992) 561.

[25] L.V. Avdeev, D.I. Kazakov and I.N. Kondrashuk, Renormalizations in supersymmetric and nonsupersymmetric nonabelian Chern-Simons field theories with matter, Nucl. Phys. B391 (1993) 333.

[26] M.T. Grisaru, W. Siegel and M. Rocek, Improved Methods for Supergraphs, Nucl. Phys. B159 (1979) 429.

[27] E.A. Ivanov, Chern-Simons matter systems with manifest $N = 2$ supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. B268 (1991) 203.

[28] M.S. Bianchi, S. Penati and M. Siani, Infrared Stability of $N = 2$ Chern-Simons Matter Theories, JHEP 1005 (2010) 106, arXiv:0912.4282 [hep-th].

[29] S.J. Gates, M.T. Grisaru, M. Rocek and W. Siegel, Superspace Or One Thousand and One Lessons in Supersymmetry, Front. Phys. 58 (1983) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/0108200].

[30] N. Akerblom, C. Saemann and M. Wolf, Marginal Deformations and 3-Algebra Structures, Nucl. Phys. B826 (2010) 456, arXiv:0906.1705 [hep-th].

[31] S.J. Gates and H. Nishino, Remarks on the $N = 2$ supersymmetric Chern-Simons theories, Phys. Lett. B281 (1992) 72.

[32] I. Jack and C. Poole, The a-function for gauge theories, JHEP 1501 (2015) 138, arXiv:1411.1301 [hep-th].

[33] J.A. Gracey, I. Jack and C. Poole, The a-function in six dimensions, JHEP 1601 (2016) 174, arXiv:1507.02174 [hep-th].

[34] J.A. Minahan, O.O. Sax and C. Sieg, Anomalous dimensions at four loops in $N = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons theories, Nucl. Phys. B846 (2011) 542, arXiv:0912.3460 [hep-th].

[35] M. Leoni, A. Mauri, J.A. Minahan, A. Santambrogio, C. Sieg and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, Superspace calculation of the four-loop spectrum in $N = 6$ supersymmetric Chern-Simons theories, JHEP 1012 (2010) 074, arXiv:1010.1756 [hep-th].

[36] M. Misiak and M. Münz, Two loop mixing of dimension five flavor changing operators, Phys. Lett. B344 (1995) 308, hep-ph/9409454.

[37] K.G. Chetyrkin, M. Misiak and M. Münz, Beta functions and anomalous dimensions up to three loops, Nucl. Phys. B518 (1998) 473, hep-ph/9711266.

[38] S. Laporta, High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A15 (2000) 5087, hep-ph/0102033.

[39] Y. Schröder and A. Vuorinen, hep-ph/0311323.

[40] S. Laporta, Calculation of master integrals by difference equations, Phys. Lett. B504 (2001) 188, hep-ph/0102032.

[41] S. Laporta, High precision epsilon expansions of massive four loop vacuum bubbles, Phys. Lett. B549 (2002) 115, hep-ph/0210336.

[42] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, Reduze 2 - distributed Feynman integral reduction, arXiv:1201.4330[hep-ph].

[43] J.A.M. Vermaseren, New features of FORM, math-ph/0010025.

[44] M. Tentyukov and J.A.M. Vermaseren, The multithreaded version of FORM, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010) 1419, hep-ph/0702279.

[45] K.G. Chetyrkin, A.L. Kataev and F.V. Tkachov, "New approach to evaluation of multiloop Feynman integrals: The Gegenbauer polynomial x-space technique," Nucl. Phys. B174 (1980) 345.